

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,
v.
MIGUEL CAMARGO, Jr.,
Defendant.

No. 2:23-cr-00111-DJC

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,
v.
RICARDO SOTO FARIAS,
Defendant.

No. 2:23-cr-00139-DAD

RELATED CASE ORDER

Plaintiff has filed a notice of related cases in both of the above-captioned actions. Examination of these actions reveals that they are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123(a). Here, "both actions involve similar questions of fact and the same question of law and their assignment to the same Judge or Magistrate Judge is likely to effect a substantial savings of judicial effort." Local Rule 123(a)(3).

1 Accordingly, the assignment of these matters to the same judge is likely to effect a
2 substantial savings of judicial effort and is likely to be convenient for the parties.

3 The parties should be aware that relating cases under Rule 123 causes the
4 actions to be assigned to the same judge, it does not consolidate the actions. Under
5 Rule 123, related cases are generally assigned to the judge to whom the first filed
6 action was assigned.

7 As a result, it is hereby ORDERED that 2:23-cv-00139 is reassigned from Judge
8 Dale A. Drozd to the undersigned. Henceforth, the caption on documents filed in the
9 reassigned case shall be shown as 2:23-cr-00139-DJC.

10 It is further ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court make appropriate adjustment
11 in the assignment of criminal cases to compensate for this reassignment.

12
13 IT IS SO ORDERED.

14 Dated: July 5, 2023


Hon. Daniel J. Calabretta
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23 DJC1 - camargo23cr00111.related_cases
24
25
26
27
28